

(joint with Shrawdhodan)

I. Formulation of result

Notation: let X scheme of f.t./ $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ defined/ $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$

$\phi_{g^n}: X \rightarrow X$ geometric Frobenius

$\Gamma_{g^n} = \{(x, \phi_{g^n}(x))\} \subset X \times X$ graph of ϕ_{g^n} .

let $c: C \rightarrow X \times X$ of schemes f.t./ $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$
 (c_1, c_2)

Question: $|c^{-1}(\Gamma_{g^n})| = ?$

Particular case: $c: C \hookrightarrow X \times X$, then

$$c^{-1}(\Gamma_{g^n}) = C \cap \Gamma_{g^n}.$$

Thm 1: (1) Assume that either c_1 or c_2 is
quasifinite. Then $\forall n \gg 0$, $|c^{-1}(\Gamma_{g^n})| < \infty$

Moreover, $|c^{-1}(\Gamma_{g^n})| = O(q^{n \dim C})$ $n \gg 0$.

(2) Assume moreover, that C, X irreducible,
and c_1, c_2 are dominant, then $\forall n \gg 0$

$$|c^{-1}(\Gamma_{g^n})| = \frac{\deg(c_1)}{\deg(c_2)_{\text{insep}}} q^{n \dim X} + O(q^{n(\dim X - \frac{1}{2})})$$

Thm 2 (uniform version) k -alg. closed field
of char p , $\sigma_q: K \rightarrow K$ with Frobenius

$X = k[t]/k$; $\phi_g: X \rightarrow \sigma_g X$, $\Gamma_g \subset X \times \sigma_g X$.

Then $\forall c: C \hookrightarrow X \times \sigma_g X$, the $|c^{-1}(\Gamma_g)|$ has the same asymptotic as in Thm (

$$(1) |c^{-1}(\Gamma_g)| = O(g^{\dim C}) \quad g \gg 0$$

"depends only on degrees of X and C "

And $\exists M \forall X, C$, $\deg X, C \leq M$ $\forall g > M$
 $\dim X, C \leq M$

II. Corollaries: Cor 1: let $c: C \rightarrow X \times X$ morphism of $k[t]/\mathbb{F}_g$, C, X - irreducible, c_1, c_2 - dominant, X is defined $/\mathbb{F}_g$.

Then $\forall g \gg 0$ $c^{-1}(\Gamma_g) \neq \emptyset$.

Cor 2: In the situation of Cor 1, $\bigcup c^{-1}(\Gamma_g) \subset C$ is Zariski dense.

pf: set $Z := \overline{\bigcup c^{-1}(\Gamma_g)}$, if $Z \neq C$

Apply Cor 1 to $C - Z \rightarrow X \times X$ $\square$

Cor 3: let X scheme $k[t]/\mathbb{F}_g$; $f: X \rightarrow X$ ^{dominant}

Then the set of f -periodic points of X is Zariski dense (Farkhruddin)

pf: Use Cor 2 for $(Id, f): X \rightarrow X \times X$. $\square$

III. Strategy of the proof: 2 methods

(A) of Thm 1+2 uses intersection theory, de Jong theorem on alterations, ^{Pick's} ^{trick}

(B) of Thm 1 (Shuddhodan) sheaf-theoretic does not use de Jong.

Remarks: (a) By Noether induction $\textcircled{1} \Leftrightarrow \textcircled{2}$

(b) we can replace X, C by open subschemes U assuming X, C affine, X -smooth $C \subset X \times X$ closed embedding.

(c) $\textcircled{1} \Leftrightarrow \textcircled{2} \Leftrightarrow |C^{-1}(\Gamma_{\text{gen}})| \deg(C)_{\text{insep}} = \deg(C) q^{\text{ndeg } X} + O(\dots)$

Goal to give cohomological interpretation of the LHS + use purity.

IV Particular case: Assume X is smooth projective, $\alpha: C \rightarrow X$ is étale

Not: If alg var $X \forall i \hookrightarrow A_i(X) = \frac{i \text{ cycles}}{\text{not equiv}}$

Another trick: let's set trace formula

$\forall$ smooth proper X of dim d

$\forall [C] \in A_d(X \times X)$ defined

$H^i([C]) \in \text{End}(H^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)) \forall i$ and

$\forall f: X \rightarrow X$ we have.

$$[C] \cdot [\Gamma_f] = \sum_{i=0}^{2d} (-1)^i \text{Tr}(f^* H^i([C]), H^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$$

Deligne purity Theorem (=Weil I)

$\forall X$ as before $\forall \tau: \mathbb{Q}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ $\forall$ e.v. λ of $F_{q^d}^* | H^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ we have $|\tau(\lambda)| = q^{\frac{i}{2}}$.

proof of Thm 1 in part case 1:

$$\forall n \geq 0 \quad |C \cap \Gamma_{g^n}| \stackrel{\uparrow}{=} [C] \cdot [\Gamma_{g^n}]$$

transversal intersection
 $c_i: C \rightarrow X$ is étale

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2d} (-1)^i \text{Tr}(\phi_{g^n}^* \circ H^i([C]), H^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$$

use purity + $H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is 1-dimensional

$$H^{2d}([C]) = \deg(C_1) \cdot \text{Id},$$

IV. Particular case 2: Assume that

(1) $\bar{X}$ smooth compact. $\bar{X} \supseteq X$ s.t. $\bar{X} - X$ is $\cup X_i$ of smooth divisors with normal crossing.

(2) $\bar{X} - X$ is "locally C -invariant"

Picard's construction: $\bar{X}$ - smooth, $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ smooth div with normal crossing.

Set $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}} = \text{Bl}_{\cup (x_i \times x_i)} (\bar{X} \times \bar{X}) \leftarrow$ smooth proper of dim $2d$.

Ex: $\bar{X} = \mathbb{A}^n$, $x_i = z(x_i)$, Then $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}} = (\text{Bl}_0(\mathbb{A}^2))^n$
 $\bar{X} \times \bar{X} = (\mathbb{A}^2)^n$

Notation: $\forall J \subseteq I$ set $\bigcap_{i \in J} x_i := x_J \subseteq \bar{X}$

x_J - smooth of dimension $d - |J|$ (or $\emptyset$)

$\pi: \tilde{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \bar{X} \times \bar{X}$; $\forall J \subseteq I$ set $E_J := \pi^{-1}(x_J \times x_J)$
 $\downarrow \square \cup$
 $E_J \xrightarrow{\pi_J} x_J \times x_J$ Exercise: E_J is smooth of dimension $2d - |J|$

Notation: $\forall [\tilde{C}] \in \text{Ad}(\tilde{\mathcal{Y}})$ set.

$[\tilde{C}]_J := (\pi_J)_* i_J^*([\tilde{C}]) \in \text{Ad}_{d-|J|}(x_J \times x_J)$
 $\uparrow$ again pullback

Lemma 1: let $\tilde{\Gamma}_{g^h} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ be the strict preimage of $\Gamma_{g^h} \subseteq \bar{X} \times \bar{X}$. Then $\forall [\tilde{C}] \in \text{Ad}(\bar{X} \times \bar{X})$,

$$[\tilde{C}] \cdot [\tilde{\Gamma}_{g^h}] = \sum_J (-1)^{|J|} [\tilde{C}]_J \cdot [\Gamma_{x_J, g^h}]$$

Lemma 2: If $\bar{X} \times \bar{X}$ is locally $\mathbb{C}$ -invariant, then $\tilde{C} \cap \tilde{\Gamma}_{g^h} \subseteq \pi^{-1}(x \times x) \Rightarrow [\tilde{C}] \cdot [\tilde{\Gamma}_{g^h}] = [C \cap \Gamma_{g^h}]$
 $\forall h \geq 0$.

Pr of Thm 1 in case 2:

$$|C \cap \Gamma_{g_H}| \stackrel{\uparrow}{=} [\tilde{C}] \cdot [\tilde{\Gamma}_{g_H}] = \sum (-1)^{|J|} [\tilde{C}]_J \cdot [\Gamma_{T, g_H}]$$

Lemma 2

Finish as in case 1.

(VI) locally invariant subsets:

Def: let $c = (c_1, c_2): C \rightarrow X \times X$, $Z \subseteq X$ closed

(a) Z is called c -invariant if

$$c_1(c_2^{-1}(Z)) \subseteq Z \text{ set-theoretically.}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow c_2^{-1}(Z) \subseteq c_1^{-1}(Z)$$

(b) $Z \subseteq X$ is called locally c -invariant if $\forall x \in Z \exists$ open $x \in U \subseteq X$ s.t.

$Z \cap U \subseteq U$ is c_U -invariant.

$$c_U: c^{-1}(U \times U) \rightarrow U \times U.$$

(c) let $c: C \hookrightarrow X \times X$ closed embedding

$\bar{X} \supseteq X$ compactification, $\bar{c}: \bar{C} \hookrightarrow \bar{X} \times \bar{X}$

we say that $\bar{X} - X$ is locally c -invariant

if it is

— || $\bar{c}$ -invariant

Prop: let $c: C \hookrightarrow X \times X$ closed embedding,

s.t. X, C - irreducible, c_2 - dominant
gen. finite,

Then $\exists$ open $U \subseteq X$ and compactification

$\bar{X} \supseteq U$ s.t. $\bar{X} - U$ is locally c_U -invariant.